## G. M. Littlejohn $\cdot$ R. de V. Pienaar

# *Thinopyrum Distichum* Addition Lines: Production, Morphological and Cytological Characterisation of 11 Disomic Addition Lines and Stable Addition-Substitution Line

Received: 3 November 1993 / Accepted: 18 April 1994

Abstract Plants of the partial amphiploid Inia 66/Thinopyrum distichum (2n = 70)//Inia 66 (2n = 56)were used as male parents in crosses with the monosomic series in the common wheat cultivar Inia 66. The genome and homoeologous group of the monosomic used in the cross affected the distribution of chromosome number of the progeny plants in the  $F_2$  and  $F_4$ . Meiosis in the pollen mother cells of the  $B_1 \bar{F}_7$  partial amphiploids was not stable, and not different from that of the  $B_1F_1$  in which univalents and multivalents were observed. Disomic addition lines were selected on the basis of morphology and meiotic stability in the  $F_2$ ,  $F_4$ and F<sub>5</sub>. Eleven of the fourteen possible wheat-Th. distichum disomic addition lines were identified using chromosome C-band pattern, as well as size and arm ratio, as genetic markers. Addition of T. distichum chromosome  $J^{dll}$  produced a phenotype indicating homoeology with wheat group-2 chromosomes. Clear indications of homoeology based on morphological characteristics were not obtained in any of the other addition lines, probably due to the mixed homoeology of the Th. distichum chromosomes relative to wheat. The addition lines were all susceptible to leaf rust, unlike the germplasm-line Indis which carries a leaf rust resistance gene on a translocation segment derived from Th. distichum. Instability of meiotic pairing was observed in all addition lines. The stability, or not, of progeny chromosome counts did not reflect the level of chromosome pairing instability in the parental plants. SDS-PAGE for gliadin-type seed proteins revealed two addition lines which expressed seed storage proteins uncommon to Inia 66 but typical of Th. distichum.

Present address:

Key words C-Banding · Partial amphiploid · Coastal wheatgrass · Leaf rust · SDS-PAGE

#### Introduction

Addition lines of species related to common wheat can be produced if it is possible to obtain hybrids with wheat. These lines may be used to determine the genetic basis for characteristics of interest in the related species and thereby determine the procedure required to transfer the characteristics to wheat (Gale and Miller 1987). Characteristics which are largely determined by genes carried on a single alien chromosome can be identified and it is often possible to determine the homoeology of the alien chromosomes to those of wheat (Miller and Reader 1987). Disomic addition lines of Thinopyrum species in common wheat have been produced using Th. elongatum (Dvorak and Knott 1974; Hart and Tuleen 1983), Th. bessarabicum (Forster et al 1988), Th. ponticum (Knott 1961) and Th. intermedium (Cauderon 1966; Wienhues 1973). Wheat curl mite resistance (Larson and Atkinson 1973), stem rust resistance (Knott 1961; Wienhues 1973), leaf rust resistance (Sharma and Knott 1966; Wienhues 1973; Cauderon 1979), yellow rust resistance (Wienhues 1979) and wheat streak mosaic virus resistance (Cauderon 1979), as well as salt tolerance (Forster et al. 1988), are associated with specific chromosome addition lines, and in many cases the disease or insect resistance has been transferred to common wheat.

A variety of methods have been developed to produce addition lines (Lukaszewski 1988), the most common entailing backcrossing the interspecific hybrids, or amphiploids thereof, to the recurrent wheat parent and selecting monosomic addition lines (O'Mara 1940). These monosomic additions are selfed to produce disomic addition lines. Various methods can be used to identify the alien chromosome pair including morphological characteristics (Knott 1958), N-band patterns (Islam 1980), C-band patterns (Jewell and Driscoll 1983), and isozyme analysis (Forster et al. 1987).

Communicated by G. S. Kush

G. M. Littlejohn<sup>1</sup> (🖂) · J. R. de V. Pienaar

Department of Genetics, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, 7600 South Africa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fynbos Research Unit, VOPI-ARC, Private Bag, Elsenburg, 7607 South Africa

Thinopyrum distichum  $(2n = 28, \text{ genomic formula } J_1^d J_2^d J_2^d)$  was hybridized with common and durum wheat in the late 1970s and amphiploids were produced (Pienaar 1981, 1983). The amphiploids were back-crossed to the wheat parents to produce partial amphiploids and other derivatives (Pienaar 1988).

A leaf rust-resistant, hexaploid line was identified from the  $B_2F_3$  derivatives of the backcrosses of the common wheat cultivar, Inia 66, to the Inia 66-*Th. distichum* partial amphiploid (Pienaar et al. 1985). The leaf rust resistance in the germplasm line, named Indis, is associated with a translocation on chromosome 7D of Inia 66 derived from *Th. distichum* (Marais and Marais 1990). To study the genic content of *Th. distichum* it was felt necessary to produce disomic addition lines of *Th. distichum* in common wheat.

Sears (1954) showed that tetrasomy produced consistent changes in the plant phenotype. Subsequent production of many series of addition lines in common wheat have shown that the addition of pairs of chromosomes to the wheat complement produces changes in the plant and seed morphology, which are ascribable to the condition of tetrasomy rather than the origin of the added chromosome pair (Miller and Reader 1987). Thus, analysis of the morphological characteristics of disomic addition lines can indicate the homoeology of the alien chromosome. This method was used to determine the homoeology of *Thinopyrum bessarabicum* chromosomes 2J and 5J (Forster et al. 1988).

Riley (1960) c' served differences in the transmission rates of individual rye chromosomes through male gametes in wheat-rye disomic addition lines, indicating that each chromosome affects the degree to which it is transmitted through the male gametes.

The present paper describes the production and morphology of the disomic wheat -Th. distichum addition lines, their cytology, reaction to leaf rust, and gliadin-type protein separation by SDS-PAGE.

## Materials and Methods

 $B_1F_1$  Inia 66/*Th. distichum* (2n = 70)//Inia 66 (78S125, 2n = 56) partial amphiploids (Pienaar 1981, 1983) were selfed for seven generations. Plants from each selfing were selected in the greenhouse for well-filled, large, kernels and high fertility. Inia 66 and some partial amphiploid plants with 56 chromosomes in the  $B_1F_7$  generation were meiotically analysed as controls. A random sample of pollen from a number of 56 chromosome  $B_1F_7$  plants was used to pollinate the complete set of Inia 66 monosomic lines. The resulting  $F_1$  hybrids were selfed for a few generations as shown in Fig. 1.

Plants with 2n = 44 chromosomes in the  $B_2F_2$  and  $B_2F_4$  generations were selected as possible *Th. distichum* addition lines. The 44 chromosome  $B_2F_2$  plants were meiotically analysed. The  $B_2F_3$  seed was sown and single spikes differing in morphology from Inia 66 were selected when ripe. The spikes were threshed individually and five seeds per spike were germinated to give the  $B_2F_4$ . The chromosomes of these were counted and meiotic analysis was done on the 2n = 44  $B_2F_4$  plants. The 2n = 43  $B_2F_4$  plants were selfed and the chromosomes of the seedlings from the selfed seed were counted. These  $B_2F_5$ , 2n = 44 progeny plants were meiotically analysed. Of the 60 plants from the  $B_2F_4$  and  $B_2F_5$  in which more than 8 out of 10 PMCs had 22" at metaphase-I, the root tip chromosomes of 39 were stained to



$$B_{2}F_{1}(2n = 48, 49)$$

$$B_{2}F_{2}(2n = 41-49)$$

$$Select 2n = 44$$

$$B_{2}F_{3}(2n = 41-49)$$

$$Select on morphology$$

$$B_{2}F_{4}(2n = 41-49)$$

$$Select 2n = 43, 44; C-band 2n = 44 and self 2n = 43$$

$$B_{2}F_{5}(2n = 44)$$

$$C-band 2n = 44$$

Fig. 1 The flow diagram of the crosses made to produce lines which were possible disomic addition lines of *Th. distichum* in the wheat cultivar Inia 66

determine C-band patterns. Most of these 39 plants were selected on the basis of meiotic stability and differences in whole plant, spike, or kernel morphology compared to the Inia 66 control plants and the other addition lines. The chromosomes of some 44 chromosome lines which did not differ visually from Inia 66 were also C-banded.

Chromosome counts were made using the Feulgen technique. Spikes were fixed in Carnoy's (6:3:1, ethanol-chlofoform-acetic acid) and stained in aceto-carmine for the meiotic analyses. The C-band identification of the Th. distichum and Inia 66 chromosomes was done according to Littlejohn (1994). Eleven disomic wheat - Th. distichum addition lines, and an addition-substitution line which has no 5D but carries  $J^{dXI}$  and  $J^{dXIV}$  in the disomic condition, were grown in the greenhouse. The leaf rust-resistant line, Indis, the cultivar, Inia 66, and the Inia 66/Th. distichum (2n = 70)//Inia 66 partial amphiploid with 2n = 56, were grown as controls. All the seedlings were inoculated with leaf-rust UV8 at the two-leaf stage. The leaf-rust race was obtained from Dr. B. Lombard (Sensako, Stellenbosch). Leaf-rust readings were taken 2 weeks after inoculation. At maturity the plant height was measured. The primary spikes per plant, the spikelets per spike, florets per spikelet, and kernels per spike were counted using all the spikes of both plants. The spike length and the culm diameter of two primary spikes per plant was measured.

A spike was cut from each of the disomic addition plants, Inia 66, and the  $F_1$  hybrid Inia 66/Chinese Spring, for meiotic analysis and fixed in Carnoy's (6 ethanol: 3 chloroform: 1 acetic acid) for up to 4 weeks. Pollen mother cells (PMCs) were stained and squashed in aceto-carmine, and the meiotic configurations analysed at 1000 X magnification. The selfed progeny seed was germinated and the root-tip chromosomes of 20 seedlings stained using the Feulgen technique to obtain a chromosome count.

Selfed progeny seed was cut in half, the embryo side used to check chromosome number, and the endosperm half used to separate the gliadin-type proteins electrophoretically using the SDS-PAGE method of De Villiers and Laubscher (1989).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The fertility of the three  $B_1F_7$  plants was not high since on average they produced 43 kernels from 154 florets per spike. This represents a fertility of 27.9% compared to 81% for Inia 66. The chromosome counts of 20  $B_1F_7$  plants ranged from 2n = 54 to 2n = 59, peaking at 56 (51.7%). In Table 1 the mean meiotic configurations of Inia 66 and three  $B_1F_7$  partial amphiploids with 2n = 56 chromosomes are compared to the meiotic configurations observed in the  $B_1F_1$  (Pienaar 1981). Chromosome pairing in Inia 66 was stable but in the partial amphiploids univalents and multivalents were frequently observed (Fig. 2). The meiotic analysis of the  $B_1F_7$  plants did not differ significantly from that of the  $B_1F_1$ . C-banding indicated a full complement of wheat chromosomes (Fig. 3) and no translocations were found in the three control  $B_1F_7$  plants.

The distribution of chromosome counts from a random sample of seed from the progeny of the  $B_2F_1$ ranged from 2n = 41 to 2n = 50 (Fig. 4), peaking at 44 chromosomes. The chromosome counts were grouped according to the homoeologous group of the monosomic female parent and according to the genome of the monosomic female parent. In the analysis of the means of the groups, the  $B_2F_2$  plants derived from the crosses in which monosomic group 1 was used as female parent were found to have significantly-higher chromosome counts than the crosses involving groups 3, 6 and 7, but not groups 2, 4 and 5. No significant differences were found in the grouping according to genome. Meiotic analysis of 19 of the  $B_2F_2$  plants with 44 chromosomes revealed that only one plant had pollen mother cells at metaphase-I which gave a configuration of 22".

In plants of the  $B_2F_4$  the chromosome counts ranged from 2n = 41 to 2n = 50 (Fig. 4), peaking at 42 chromosomes, with the second largest group having 44 chromosomes. The  $B_2F_4$  chromosome counts were grouped according to the homoelogous group of the monosomic female parent, and according to the genome of the monosomic female parent. The analysis of the means of the groups showed significantly-higher chromosome counts in the  $B_2F_4$  plants derived from the crosses in which monosomic group 5 was used as female parent. In the grouping according to genome, the derivatives of crosses in which A-genome monosomics were used as female parents had significantly-higher chromosome



Fig. 2 Metaphase-I in a pollen mother cell of Inia 66/Th. distichum (2n = 70)//Inia 66 partial amphiploid (2n = 56) showing a quadrivalent, two univalents and 25 bivalents

counts than those derived from crosses in which the B-genome monosomics or the D-genome monosomics were used. There were no significant differences observed when B- or D-genome monosomics were used as female parents in the second backcross.

The  $B_2F_4$  lines with 44 chromosomes were meiotically analysed and out of these, 29 showed bivalent pairing in at least 8 out of 10 PMCs while 11 were not stable, resulting in univalents and multivalents. The  $B_2F_4$  plants with 2n = 43 chromosomes were selfed. Of the 74 lines, 25 gave progeny with 44 chromosomes. Thirty-three of these  $B_2F_5$  lines were meiotically analysed of which 30 showed stable chromosome pairing and three were unstable.

The C-band karyotype of *Th. distichum* (Fig. 5) was used to identify the *Th. distichum* chromosomes in the addition lines. Of the 14 possible addition lines, 11 disomic addition lines were identified by C-banding the 39 meiotically-stable lines with 44 chromosomes (Fig. 6). Generally, between one and five plants of each

Table 1 The meiotic configurations observed in pollen mother cells (PMCs) of the three  $B_1 F_7$  partial amphiploid plants compared to Inia 66 and the  $B_1 F_1$  partial amphiploid

| Line                           | Chromo-<br>some<br>count | Number<br>of<br>PMCs | Meiotic configurations |        |         |          |      |      |       |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------|---------|----------|------|------|-------|--|
|                                |                          |                      | I                      | II rod | II ring | II total | III  | IV   | ХМТ   |  |
| Inia 66                        | 42                       | 80                   | 0.22                   | 2.00   | 19.36   | 20.84    |      |      | 40.72 |  |
| $\mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{F}_{7}$ |                          |                      | 0-2                    | 0-7    | 14-21   | 20-21    |      |      | 33-42 |  |
| 78S125-1                       | 56                       | 25                   | 3.96                   | 2.28   | 22.28   | 24.56    | 016  | 0.16 | 49 24 |  |
| $B_1F_7$                       |                          |                      | 0–6                    | 0-8    | 18-27   | 25-27    | 0-2  | 0-1  | 43-54 |  |
| 78S125-2                       | 56                       | 25                   | 3.24                   | 4.56   | 21.36   | 25.92    | 0.20 | 0.08 | 48.00 |  |
| $B_1F_7$                       |                          |                      | 0-6                    | 2 - 8  | 19-24   | 24-27    | 0-2  | 0-1  | 44_54 |  |
| 78S125-3                       | 56                       | 25                   | 5.56                   | 4.44   | 20.20   | 24 64    | 016  | 016  | 47 24 |  |
| $B_1F_7$                       |                          |                      | 2 - 10                 | 1-8    | 17-23   | 23-27    | 0-1  | 0.10 | 42 50 |  |
| 78S125ª                        | 56                       | 100                  | 5.34                   | 6.77   | 17.88   | 24 76    | 018  | 0.18 | 45.32 |  |
| B <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>  |                          |                      | 2-10                   | 1-13   | 11–22   | 18-27    | 0-2  | 0-2  | 37-51 |  |

<sup>a</sup> Pienaar 1981

Fig. 3 The C-banded chromosomes of the Inia 66/Th. distichum (2n = 70)//Inia 66 partial amphiploid with 2n = 56



Fig. 4 Distribution of the chromosome counts of the *Th. distichum*/ $3^*$  Inia 66 B<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> plants

addition were identified, excepting chromosome  $J^{dX}$  which was identified in 15 of the 39 lines. There was no correlation between the number of addition lines identified and the original monosomy in Inia 66.

The purpose of using the Inia 66 monosomics as female parents in the second backcross in this study was to obtain substitution lines. Although substitutions must have been present in the  $B_2F_2$  plants with 44 chromosomes which gave 20'' + 4', by the  $B_2F_4$  few such plants remained. The likelihood of obtaining a compensating substitution by this random method is small (Gale and Miller 1987), and as non-compensating substitutions are generally non-viable they are naturally selected against.

In the  $B_2F_4$  the plants derived from the A-genome monosomics had higher chromosome numbers than those derived from the B- and D-genome monosomics. Thus there is interaction between the wheat and *Th*. distichum chromosomes which is linked to genome relatedness. Dvorak (1980) postulated, on the basis of good pairing (up to five bivalents) between  $J^e$  and D-genome chromosomes in *Th. elongatum/Ae. squar*rosa hybrids, that the  $J^e$  chromosomes are more-closely related to the D genome than the A or B genome. If  $J^d$ chromosomes are thus also less related to A-genome chromosomes then compensation of A-genome chromosomes by  $J^d$  chromosomes would occur less readily. Thus in the  $B_2F_2$  few substitutions would be viable without other partially-compensating *Th. distichum* chromosomes being present. It is not expected that this would persist into the  $B_2F_4$ .

The use of group-1 and group-5 monosomics as maternal parents resulted in significantly higher chromosomes numbers in the  $B_2F_2$  and  $B_2F_4$  respectively but the reason for the difference between the  $B_2F_2$  and  $B_2F_4$  is not clear. The meiotic configurations observed in the  $B_2F_4$  and  $B_2F_5$  are as expected. A higher number of selfed 2n = 43 plants gave configurations with 22''than the  $B_2F_4$  plants which were randomly selected. Similarly, a higher proportion of homologous addition chromosome pairs were observed in the  $B_2F_4$  than in

Fig. 5 The C-banded chromosomes of *Th. distichum*, arranged and numbered in descending order of size



the  $B_2F_2$ . No correlations between the genomes or homologous groups of the parental monosomic were observed in the meiotic analyses of the selected 2n = 44plants in any generation. One addition-substitution line was found amongst the 44-chromosome plants. In this line, two pairs of Th. distichum chromosomes,  $J^{dXI}$  and  $J^{dXIV}$ , were present and no 5D chromosomes were observed. The maternal parent in the original cross was Inia 66 mono-5D. In this line either one  $J^d$  chromosome, or a single arm of both the  $J^d$  chromosomes present, must compensate for the loss of the 5D chromosome pair. Therefore, either  $J^{dXI}$ ,  $J^{dXIV}$ , or an arm of each chromosome, must be homologous to group 5.

Not one of the addition lines, or the Inia 66 used as control, were resistant to the leaf-rust race UV8. Indis gave a characteristic fleck resistance reaction with this leaf-rust race. The partial amphiploid, Inia 66/Th. distichum (2n = 70)//Inia 66, was susceptible to the leafrust race used. Either the chromosome on which the



Fig. 6 The C-banded chromosomes of the wheat - Th. distichum addition line  $J^{di}$ 

gene for leaf-rust resistance is found was not amongst the addition lines, or the resistance was not expressed in the addition chromosome.

The 11 addition lines and the substitution-addition line differed morphologically from one another and from Inia 66 (Table 2, Fig. 7) as follows:

the J<sup>dII</sup> disomic addition has thin culms, narrow spikes, leaves, glumes and kernels. The flag leaves are twisted during growth and the kernels are vitreous. These characteristics are indications of homoeology with group 2 of wheat (Miller and Reader 1987).

the  $J^{dIII}$  disomic addition is shorter than Inia 66, with smaller, denser spikes, thicker culms and wrinkled kernels.

the  $J^{dV}$  disomic addition produces fewer tillers than Inia 66 and is taller.

the  $J^{dVI}$  disomic addition looks somewhat similar to the  $J^{dIII}$  disomic addition line, with small, narrow spikes and narrow kernels. The culm diameter differs little from Inia 66, but the spikes are denser than those of Inia 66. the  $J^{dVII}$  disomic addition produces many tillers but is shorter than Inia 66, with thicker culms and denser spikes. the  $J^{dVIII}$  disomic addition differs little from Inia 66.

the  $J^{dIX}$  disomic addition has a tapered spike, is taller than Inia 66 with thinner culms. The kernels are redder in colour.

the  $J^{dx}$  disomic addition has more florets per spikelet giving a broad appearance to the spike, and thick culms. The kernels are coarese. The kernels are easily threshed. being seated very loosely in the florets when ripe.

the  $J^{dXI}$  disomic addition has a long lax spike, thick culms, broad leaves and large coarse kernels. This could be a group-5 addition.

the  $J^{dXIII}$  disomic addition is shorter than Inia 66 with small spikes, thin culms and the top two to three florets infertile.

the  $J^{dXIV}$  disomic addition is taller than Inia 66, with dense clavate spikes and large kernels. the  $J^{dXI}$ - $J^{dXIV}$  substitution-addition line differed from

Table 2 The mean measurements of morphological characteristics of wheat-Th. distichum disomic addition lines, an addition-substitution line and Inia 66

| Addition<br>line | Height as %<br>of Inia | Spikes/<br>plant (A) | Spikelets/<br>spike (B) | Florets/<br>spike (C) | Kernels/<br>spike (D) | Length of<br>spike (mm)<br>(F) | Density of<br>spike (F/B) | Culm<br>diam. |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| п                | 113                    | 6                    | 15                      | 41                    | 31                    | 79                             | 5.27                      | 1 48          |
| III              | 88                     | 4                    | 15                      | 53                    | 47                    | 86                             | 5.73                      | 2.00          |
| V                | 112                    | 3                    | 16                      | 51                    | 46                    | 92                             | 5.75                      | 1.86          |
| VI               | 100                    | 4                    | 18                      | 39                    | 29                    | 89                             | 4.94                      | 1.98          |
| VII              | 87                     | 7                    | 19                      | 53                    | 30                    | 79                             | 4.16                      | 2.07          |
| VIII             | 107                    | 4                    | 16                      | 57                    | 48                    | 94                             | 5.88                      | 1.89          |
| IX               | 116                    | 3                    | 16                      | 54                    | 42                    | 92                             | 5.75                      | 1.70          |
| Х                | 96                     | 4                    | 16                      | 56                    | 39                    | 94                             | 5.88                      | 2.21          |
| XI               | 103                    | 5                    | 17                      | 37                    | 33                    | 107                            | 6.29                      | 2.07          |
| XIII             | 84                     | 4                    | 14                      | 37                    | 31                    | 79                             | 5.64                      | 1 42          |
| XIV              | 112                    | 4                    | 22                      | 59                    | 40                    | 93                             | 4.23                      | 1.89          |
| XI + XIV         | 109                    | 5                    | 21                      | 67                    | 43                    | 78                             | 3.71                      | 2.39          |
| Inia             | 100                    | 5                    | 16                      | 45                    | 40                    | 98                             | 5.76                      | 1.89          |

wheat cultivar Inia 66



Inia 66 in having dense, tapered spikes, thick culms and small, round kernels. The ligules are large and intensely purple. Flowering is 10–12 days later than in Inia 66. All the addition lines except  $J^{dVII}$  produce larger kernels than Inia 66.

Armstrong et al. (1991), on the basis of C-banding similarity, postulated, that the satellited  $J^{dIV}$  and  $J^{dVII}$ 

chromosomes were homoeologous to 5  $J_1^e$  and  $6J_1^e$ respectively. The homoeology of  $J^{dVII}$  was not verified by morphological characteristics, as group-6 additions usually have round glumes and kernels and the plants are taller than the parental wheat cultivar (Miller and Reader 1987). Addition chromosome  $J^{dVII}$  is satellited, but the 2n = 44 chromosome plants were small of stature and had small dense spikes, more characteristic of a

group-7 addition. Chromosome  $J^{dIV}$  was not obtained as an addition line and its homoeology could not be verified. Either chromosome  $J^{dXI}$  or  $J^{dXIV}$  must be homoeologous to group 5 as one or the other is able to compensate for the loss of chromosome 5D of wheat. Disomic addition line  $J^{dXI}$  has thick culms, broad leaves, large coarse textured grains and the  $J^{dXI}$  chromosome is the most heterobrachial of the Th. distichum chromosomes and is therefore indicative of a group-5 addition (Miller and Reader 1987). Disomic addition  $J^{dXIV}$  is tall and has dense spikes and this chromosome is the second most hetrobrachial in the Th. distichum complement. These characteristics are also associated with homoeology with group 5. It is possible that only one arm of each of these chromosomes is homoeologous to group 5, in which case both chromosomes must be present to adequately compensate for the loss of 5D.

The lack of consistency in the morphological characteristics with those described by Gale and Miller (1987) indicate mixed homoeology. Arm homoeology of rye chromosomes to wheat, as determined by analysis of C-banded metaphase-I chromosome pairing and the chromosomal location of homoeoloci in wheat and rye, indicates that only 1RS, 1RL, 2RL, 3RS and 5RS are fully homoeologous with their wheat counterparts (Naranjo and Fernandez-Rueda 1991). The remaining arms of rye chromosomes appear to be involved in chromosomal rearrangements. Th. elongatum chromosomes do not show complete homoeology for wheat chromosomes, although adequate compensation for wheat chromosomes takes place (Dvorak and Knott 1974; Dvorak 1980; Tuleen and Hart 1988). Th. distichum, which is a segmental allopolyploid in which pairing of homoeologous  $J^d$  chromosomes occurs in partial amphiploids in the presence of  $Ph_1$  (Pienaar 1981, 1983), is more likely to have a rearranged genome than a diploid species. Therefore, mixed homoeology in the disomic addition lines is not unexpected.

Quadrivalents in the meiotic configurations of the Chinese Spring/Inia 66  $F_1$  hybrid (Table 3, Fig. 8) indicated the presence of differences in chromosomearm homoeology between the two cultivars. The lack of clear morphological indicators of homoeology of the *Th. distichum* addition lines may be influenced by the arms within a single *Th. distichum* chromosome being homoeologous to different Inia 66 chromosomes than would be the case with Chinese Spring. This would also produce indications of mixed homoeology. The majority of sets of addition lines have been produced in Chinese Spring (Shepherd and Islam 1988). Alternatively, the morphological indicators may be clear when Chinese Spring is the common wheat cultivar which forms the genetic background of the addition lines, but less clear for other common wheat cultivars.

The meiotic analysis of the disomic addition lines and Inia 66 revealed some significant differences in the stability of chromosome pairing (Fig. 9). Univalent frequencies ranged from 0.07 in disomic addition  $J^{dIII}$  to a maximum of 0.57 in the substitution-addition line (Table 4). Trivalents and quadrivalents were not observed in the additions  $J^{dV}$ ,  $J^{dX}$  and  $J^{dXIV}$ . The highest frequency of multivalents occurred in disomic addition J<sup>dXIII</sup>. The multivalents could result from small translocations between the wheat chromosomes or between wheat and Th. distichum, but no translocations were detected by C-banding. Pairing regulatory genes on the Th. distichum chromosomes could affect the pairing of the wheat chromosomes. The chromosomes of the closely-related Th. elongatum have been shown to affect pairing between wheat chromosomes (Dvorak 1987; Charpentier et al. 1988). The effect on chromosome pairing may not be related to the presence of genes promoting pairing, as the presence of alien chromo-



Fig. 8 Quadrivalent formation in a pollen mother cell of a Chinese Spring/Inia 66  $F_1$  hybrid

Table 3 The meiotic analysis at metaphase-I in pollen mother cells of the Inia 66 and the Chinese Spring/Inia 66 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid

| Line                  | # PMCs | Meiotic configurations |             |                |                |             |             |                |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--|--|
|                       |        | I                      | II rod      | III ring       | II total       | Ш           | IV          | XMT°           |  |  |
| Inia 66               | 80     | 0.22<br>0-2            | 2.00<br>0-7 | 18.83<br>14-21 | 20.83<br>20–21 |             |             | 39.73<br>35–42 |  |  |
| Ch. Sprª./<br>Inia 66 | 140    | 0.84<br>0-5            | 2.69<br>0-8 | 17.06<br>13–20 | 19.75<br>17–21 | 0.21<br>0-2 | 0.25<br>0-2 | 38.00<br>32-41 |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> Ch. Spr., Chinese Spring

<sup>b</sup> # PMCs, number of pollen mother cells

° XMT, chiasmata

somes per se has an effect on the meiotic configurations observed in wheat-rye addition lines (Riley 1960; Orellana et al. 1983).

The stability of the progeny chromosome number was not highly correlated (r = 0.40) with the stability of meiotic pairing in the parent plants. Among the addition lines,  $J^{dVI}$ ,  $J^{dVII}$  and  $J^{dXIV}$  gave fewer progeny plants with 44 chromosomes when selfed (Table 5). The instability of chromosome number indicates a lower transmission rate of chromosomes  $J^{dVI}$ ,  $J^{dVII}$  and  $J^{dXIV}$ . probably through the n + 1 male gametes which are at a competitive disadvantage (Khush 1973). The stability of the wheat-Th. distichum disomic addition lines shows a greater range than that reported for the seven wheat -Th. elongatum addition lines. The percentage of progeny plants with 44 chromosomes from selfing wheat -Th. elongatum disomic addition lines ranged from 85.5% to 96.6% (Dvorak and Knott 1974), whereas in wheat -Th. distichum disomic addition lines it ranged from 65% to 100%. In wheat-rye addition lines the stability of the addition line has been linked to the identity of an addition chromosome (Riley 1960; Miazga et al. 1988).

The PAGE analysis showed that in the additions  $J^{dV}$ and  $J^{dIX}$  there were altered gliadin band patterns indicating the presence of proteins coded for by *Th. distichum* genes (Fig. 10). Neither of these lines could be identified as group-1 or group-6 additions based on morphological features. In the  $J^{dIX}$  line a major Inia 66 band was lost. This is a common polymorphism within Inia 66 and



Fig. 9 The 95% confidence intervals for the mean chiasma frequencies observed in the Inia 66 - Th. distichum addition lines and the addition-substitution line

polymorphism was detected in *Th. distichum* as well, so definitive results could not be obtained.

To fully determine the homoeology of the *Th. distichum* chromosomes use will have to be made of isozyme analysis such as that employed in determining the homoeology of *Th. intermedium* chromosomes (Forster et al. 1987), or else substitution lines will have to be produced as used in determining the homoeology of *Th. elongatum* chromosomes (Dvorak 1980; Tuleen and Hart 1988).

Table 4The meiotic analysis at metaphase – I in pollen mother cells (PMCs) of the wheat-Th. distichum disomic addition lines and Inia 66(XMT = chiasmata)

| Line     | # PMCs | Meiotic configurations |        |         |          |       |       |       |  |  |
|----------|--------|------------------------|--------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
|          |        | I                      | II rod | II ring | II total | III   | IV    | XMT   |  |  |
| Inia 66  | 80     | 0.22                   | 2.00   | 18.83   | 20.83    |       |       | 39.27 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 0-7    | 14-21   | 20-21    |       |       | 35-42 |  |  |
| п        | 27     | 0.22                   | 1.56   | 20.25   | 21.81    |       | 0.04  | 42.19 |  |  |
|          |        | 0–2                    | 0-6    | 15-21   | 21-22    |       | 0-1   | 36-44 |  |  |
| III      | 30     | 0.07                   | 1.67   | 20.13   | 21.8     |       | 0.07  | 42.20 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 0-6    | 17-22   | 21-22    |       | 0-1   | 38-44 |  |  |
| v        | 25     | 0.16                   | 0.88   | 21.04   | 21.92    |       |       | 42.96 |  |  |
|          |        | 0–2                    | 0–4    | 18-22   | 21-22    |       |       | 38-44 |  |  |
| VI       | 25     | 0.24                   | 2.56   | 19.20   | 21.76    |       | 0.04  | 41.16 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 0-5    | 17-22   | 20-22    |       | 0 - 1 | 38-44 |  |  |
| VII      | 26     | 0.42                   | 3.69   | 17.81   | 21.50    | 0.12  | 0.04  | 39.81 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 1-7    | 13-21   | 21-22    | 0-1   | 0-1   | 35-43 |  |  |
| VIII     | 14     | 0.34                   | 3.86   | 17.86   | 21.72    | 0.06  |       | 39.03 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-3                    | 1–9    | 13-21   | 19-22    | 0-1   |       | 34-43 |  |  |
| IX       | 25     | 0.19                   | 2.96   | 18.77   | 21.73    | 0.12  |       | 40.76 |  |  |
|          |        | 0–2                    | 0-6    | 1622    | 19-22    | 0 - 1 |       | 38-44 |  |  |
| Х        | 20     | 0.1                    | 3.30   | 18.60   | 21.90    |       |       | 40.60 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 1-6    | 17-21   | 21-22    |       |       | 38-43 |  |  |
| XI       | 25     | 0.24                   | 3.40   | 18.40   | 21.80    |       | 0.04  | 40.36 |  |  |
|          |        | 0–2                    | 0-8    | 13-21   | 21-22    |       | 0-1   | 34–44 |  |  |
| XIII     | 25     | 0.40                   | 2.60   | 18.64   | 21.24    |       | 0.28  | 41.04 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 0-7    | 14-22   | 19-22    |       | 0 - 1 | 35-44 |  |  |
| XIV      | 25     | 0.08                   | 2.24   | 19.72   | 21.96    |       |       | 41.68 |  |  |
|          |        | 0-2                    | 0-4    | 17-22   | 21-22    |       |       | 39-44 |  |  |
| XI + XIV | 28     | 0.57                   | 2.96   | 18.68   | 21.64    |       |       | 40.39 |  |  |
|          |        | 0–2                    | 0–6    | 16-22   | 21-22    |       |       | 37-44 |  |  |

Table 5The distribution of the chromosome counts of the selfed seedfrom eleven disomic wheat - Th. distichum addition lines, and onesubstitution-addition line

| Addition<br>chromosome | Chror<br>proge | Percentange    |    |    |         |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----|----|---------|
|                        | 42             | 43             | 44 | 45 | 2n = 44 |
| II                     |                |                | 20 |    | 100     |
| III                    |                | 1              | 18 | 1  | 90      |
| V                      |                |                | 20 |    | 100     |
| VI                     | 2              | 6              | 12 |    | 60      |
| VII                    | 3              | 4              | 13 |    | 65      |
| VIII                   |                | 4              | 16 |    | 80      |
| IX                     | 3              | 1              | 16 |    | 80      |
| X                      |                | $\overline{2}$ | 18 |    | 90      |
| XI                     |                | 2              | 18 |    | 90      |
| XIII                   |                |                | 20 |    | 100     |
| XIV                    |                | 5              | 15 |    | 75      |
| XI + XIV               |                | 1              | 19 |    | 95      |



**Fig. 10** PAGE of wheat *Th. distichum* addition lines  $J^{dV}$  and  $J^{dIX}$  with banding patterns differing from Inia 66,  $J^{dVIII}$  identical to Inia 66, and polymorphism in *Th. distichum* (TD).

Acknowledgements The CSIR-FRD and the Wheat Board are gratefully thanked for funding of the project. R. Prins, H. S. Roux and S. E. Pretorius are thanked for technical assistance, B. Lombard for assistance with the leaf rust inoculations.

### References

- Armstrong KC, Le HG, Fedak G (1991) Expression of *Thinopyrum distichum* NORs in wheat × *Thinopyrum* amphiploids and their backcross generations. Theor Appl Genet 81: 363–368
- Cauderon Y (1966) Etude cytogenetique de l'evolution du material issu du croisement entre Triticum aestivum et Agropyron intermedium. I. Creation de types d'addition stables. Ann Amelior Plant 16: 43-70
- Cauderon Y (1979) Use of Agropyron species for wheat improvement. In: Proc Conf Broadening Genetic Base of Crops, Pudoc, Wageningen, pp 175-186
- Charpentier A, Feldman M, Cauderon Y (1988) The effect of different Agropyron elongatum chromosomes on pairing in Agropyroncommon wheat hybrids. Genome 30: 978–983
- De Villiers OT, Laubscher EW (1989) Barley cultivar identification by rapid polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of hordein proteins. S Afr J Plant Soil 6: 70–74
- Dvorak J (1987) Chromosomal distribution of genes in diploid *Elytrigia elongata* that promote or suppress pairing of wheat homoeologous chromosomes. Genome 29: 34–40
- Dvorak J (1980) Homoeology between Agropyron elongatum chromosomes and Triticum aestivum chromosomes. Can J Genet Cytol 22: 237–259
- Dvorak J, Knott DR (1974) Disomic and ditelosomic additions of diploid Agropyron elongatum chromosomes to Triticum aestivum. Can J Genet Cytol 16: 399–417
- Forster BP, Reader SM, Forsyth SA, Koebner RMD, Miller TE, Gale MD, Cauderon Y (1987) An assessment of the homoeology of six *Agropyron intermedium* chromosomes added to wheat. Genet Res 50: 91–97
- Forster BP, Miller TE, Law CN (1988) Salt tolerance of two wheat-Agropyron junceum disomic addition lines. Genome 30: 559-564
- Gale MD, Miller TE (1987) The introduction of alien genetic variation into wheat. In: Lupton FGH (ed) Wheat breeding. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 173-210
- Hart GE, Tuleen NE (1983) Chromosomal location of eleven *Elytrigia elongata* (= *Agropyron elongatum*) isozyme structural genes. Genet Res 41: 181–202
- Islam AKMR (1980) Identification of wheat-barley addition lines with N-banding of chromosomes. Chromosoma 76: 365-373
- Jewell DC, Driscoll CJ (1983) The addition of *Aegilops variabilis* chromosomes to *Triticum aestivum* and their identification. Can J Genet Cytol 25: 76–84
- Khush GS (1973) Cytogenetics of aneuploids. Academic Press, London
- Knott DR (1958) The inheritance of a blue endosperm colour derived from *Agropyron elongatum*. Can J Bot 3: 572–574
- Knott DR (1961) The inheritance of rust resistance. VI. The transfer of rust resistance from *Agropyron elongatum* to common wheat. Can J Plant Sci 41: 109–143
- Larson RI, Atkinson TG (1973) Isolation of Agropyron elongatum chromosomes conferring reisistance to the wheat curl mite on a *Triticum/Agropyron* hybrid. Can J Genet 14: 731-732
- Littlejohn GM (1994) The C-band karyotype and idiogram of *Thinopyrum distichum*. Theor Appl Genet (in press)
- Lukaszewski AJ (1988) A comparison of several approaches in the development of disomic alien addition lines of wheat. In: Miller TE, Koebner RMD (eds) Proc 7th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Cambridge, UK, pp 363-368
- Marais GF, Marais A (1990) The assignment of a *Thinopyrum distichum* (Thunb.) Love-derived translocation to the long arm of chromosome 7D using endopeptidase polymorphisms. Theor Appl Genet 798: 182–186
- Miazga D, Chrzastek M, Tarkowski C (1988) Cytogenetic studies in wheat addition lines. In: Miller TE, Koebner RMD (eds) Proc 7th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Cambridge UK, pp 379–382
- Miller TE, Reader SM (1987) A guide to the homoeology of chromosomes within the *Triticeae*. Theor Appl Genet 74: 214–217.
- Naranjo T, Fernandez-Rueda P (1991) Homoeology of rye chromosome arms to wheat. Theor Appl Genet 82: 577-586

- O'Mara JG (1940) Cytogenetic studies in triticale. I A method for determining the effects of individual *Secale* chromosomes on *Triticum*. Genetics 25: 401–408
- Orellana J, Cermeno MC, Lacadena JR (1983) Meiotic pairing in wheat-rye addition and substitution lines. Can J Genet Cytol 26: 25-33
- Pienaar RdeV (1981) Genome relationships in wheat × Agropyron distichum (Thunb.) Beauv hybrids. Z Pflanzenzuecht 87: 193-212
- Pienaar RdeV (1983) Cytogenetic studies in *Triticum-Elytrigia* amphiploid hybrids. In: Proc 6th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Kyoto, Japan pp 327-333
- Pienaar RdeV (1988) Hexaploid "wheats" with novel third genomes. In: Miller TE, Koebner RMD (eds) Proc 7th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Cambridge, UK, pp 403-408
- Pienaar RdeV, Roux HS, Littlejohn GM (1985) Items from South Africa. Dept of Genetics, University of Stellenbosch. Annu Wheat Newslett 31: 99-101

Riley R (1960) The meiotic behaviour, fertility and stability of wheat-

rye chromosome addition lines. Heredity 14: 89-100

- Sears ER (1954) The aneuploids of common wheat. Missouri Agric Stn Exp Bull 572
- Sharma D, Knott DR (1966) The transfer of leaf-rust resistance from Agropyron to Triticum by irradiation. Can J Genet Cytol 8 : 137-143
- Shepherd KW, Islam AKMR (1988) Fourth compendium of wheatalien chromosome lines. In: Miller TE, Koebner RMD (eds) Proc 7th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Cambridge, UK, pp 1373–1398
- Tuleen NA, Hart GE (1988) Isolation and characterization of wheat-Elytrigia elongata chromosome 3E and 5E addition and sustitution lines. Genome 30: 519–524
- Wienhues A (1973) Translocations between wheat chromosomes and an Agropyron chromosome conditioning rust resistance. In: Sears ER, Sears LMS (eds) Proc 4th Int Wheat Symp, Columbia-Missouri, USA, pp 201–207
- Wienhues A (1979) Resistenz gegen Gelbrost (*Puccinia striforis*) aus Agropyron intermedium ubertragen in den Winterweizen. Z Pflanzenzeucht 82: 201–211